

Baker Tilly Virchow Krause, LLP Ten Terrace Ct, PO Box 7398 Madison, WI 53707-7398 tel 608 249 6622 fax 608 249 8532 bakertilly.com

> To Outlying Sewer G	Group
-----------------------	-------

> From Jodi Dobson

> Date May 13, 2014

> Re City 2013 True-up Analysis

We have reviewed the "True-Up" rates and calculations based on actual costs, flows and loadings for 2013 prepared by John Mayer, the City's rate consultant. The purpose of our review is to determine if the method used to calculate the true-up is consistent with prior years and to provide OSG members with an overview of the factors behind the annual adjustment. Our review confirmed the method used to calculate the true-up amount is consistent with prior years.

Of the 17 OSG members 12 will receive a refund. Please refer to Attachment 1 for summary true-up amounts. We urge <u>each member</u> to confirm the amount shown as "actual billed amount". This amount should be equal to what you were billed and have already paid for 2013 costs. Additionally, we recommend each member review the billable units for their corresponding community to ensure they are accurate.

There are several reasons for the overall OSG refund including:

- > The 2013 actual operating and maintenance costs shared between the City and OSG customers were 1.6% lower than budgeted. At the same time the capital outlay for treatment plant equipment increased.
- > The OSG flows and pollutant loadings as a percentage of total system flows and pollutant loadings were lower than anticipated in the 2013 budget. Due to an increase in volumes at the city's industrial customers and the level of I/I the OSG flows were 21.4% of the total compared to the anticipated 22.3% in the budget.
- > The actual true-up amount for each community is based on the combination of the lower overall costs and the actual volume and concentration of wastewater treated for that community. For example, a community with an increase in the concentration of BOD's would see a smaller refund than one with no increase in concentration, all other factors being equal.

Please see Attachment 3 for a comparison of the 2012 actual, 2013 budgeted and 2013 actual rates charged.

Other items to highlight for your information:

- > Attachment 2 shows a trend analysis of the total system flows by source. This illustrates that the total volume treated for the OSG members is less volatile over time than that of the City's customers, including their infiltration and inflow. With continued efforts to reduce infiltration and inflow by the City, the OSG could become a larger percentage of the entire system in the future.
- > 2013 was the first year in which TFDL SD3 was separately billed as TFDL SD3- Rolling Meadows and TFDL SD3- Rogersville. We note that while Rolling Meadows had sampling data from 2011-2013 to develop the concentration and thus the loadings of BOS, TSS, Phosphorus and NH3N Rogersville only had data from 2013. We recommend management review this sampling data included in Appendix A-1 of the full study from John Mayor to ensure it is reasonable.

Thank you for allowing us to help the OSG in this matter. If you have any questions, feel free to contact Jodi Dobson at 608 240 2469.



Pursuant to the rules of professional conduct set forth in Circular 230, as promulgated by the United States Department of the Treasury, nothing contained in this communication was intended or written to be used by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding penalties that may be imposed on the taxpayer by the Internal Revenue Service, and it cannot be used by any taxpayer for such purpose. No one, without our express prior written permission, may use or refer to any tax advice in this communication in promoting, marketing, or recommending a partnership or other entity, investment plan or arrangement to any other party.

## Outlying Sewer Group City 2013 True-Up Calculation

3

ς

				True-Up			
		Actually	True-Up	Amt. Due	2013 Reported		2013
True-Up Summary:		Billed	Bill	or (Refund)	Actual Flow		Budget Flow
Village of North Fond du Lac	\$	403,036.00	\$ 384,366.07	\$ (18,669.93)	376.438	MG	341.289 MG
Town of Friendship 1 & 3		61,516.00	51,351.78	(10,164.22)	37.180	MG	36.624 MG
Town of Fond du Lac SD2		40,136.00	36,247.06	(3,888.94)	29.708	MG	29.836 MG
Taycheedah #1		72,676.00	74,528.64	1,852.64	61.083	MG	54.028 MG
Taycheedah #3 DP		30,820.00	34,287.50	3,467.50	28.102	MG	22.914 MG
Taycheedah #3 SP		17,256.00	14,722.15	(2,533.85)	12.066	MG	12.828 MG
Johnsburg		6,064.00	5,188.32	(875.68)	4.252	MG	4.509 MG
Calumet		24,076.00	27,287.07	3,211.07	22.364	MG	17.899 MG
SD#3 (Rolling Meadows)		58,511.32	53,911.92	(4,599.40)	28,692	MG	
SD#3 (Rogersville)		44,748.68	26,286.69	(18,461.99)	21.943	MG	
Empire #1	•	14,028.00	14,406.47	378.47	10,984.000	CCF	•
Empire #3	٠	18,000.00	19,552.83	1,552.83	12,776.000	CCF	*
TFDL SD#2 Direct Connects	8 <b>.</b> 63	1,008.64	915.28	(93.36)	741,000	CCF	
TFDL SD#4 Direct Connects	•	14,556.48	13,209.06	(1,347.42)	10,693,860	CCF	
TFDL SD#6 Direct Connects	•	971.55	881.62	(89.93)	713.750	CCF	
Town of FDL Direct Connects	3. <b></b> ()	701.76	636.81	(64.95)	515.550	CCF	•
Mary Hill Park Direct Connects		2,183.22	1,981.14	(202.08)	1,603.900		•
Total OSG	\$	810,289.65	\$ 759,760.41	\$ (50,529.24)			

\* These communities are billed based on budgeted rates and actual flows rather than the budgeted revenues.

			2013 Budget v	s Actual Variance A	nalysis			
			Billed \$	Billed \$	True-up \$			
True-Up Summary:	Volume variance	%	/ Budgeted unit	/Actual units	/Actual unit	1	Price variance	%
Village of North Fond du Lac	35.149780	10%	1,180.92		1,021.06		(159.86)	-14%
Town of Friendship 1 & 3	0.556030	2%	1,679.67		1,381.17	••	(298.50)	-18%
Town of Fond du Lac SD2	(0.128216)	0%	1,345.22		1,220.12	**	(125.10)	-9%
Taycheedah #1	7.055043	13%	1,345.13		1,220.12		(125.01)	-9%
Taycheedah #3 DP	5.187740	23%	1,345.13		1,220.12		(125.01)	-9%
Taycheedah #3 SP	(0.761848)	-6%	1,345.13		1,220.12	••	(125.01)	-9%
Johnsburg	(0.256698)	-6%	1,345.13		1,220.12	**	(125.01)	-9%
Calumet	4.465249	25%	1,345.13		1,220.12		(125.01)	-9%
Empire #1				1.28	1.31	**	0.03	3%
Empire #3				1.41	1.53	**	0.12	9%
TFDL SD#2 Direct Connects				1.36	1.24		(0.13)	-9%
TFDL SD#4 Direct Connects				1.36	1.24	**	(0.13)	-9%
TFDL SD#6 Direct Connects				1.36	1.24		(0.13)	-9%
Town of FDL Direct Connects				1.36	1.24	**	(0.13)	-9%
Mary Hill Park Direct Connects				1.36	1.24		(0.13)	-9%

\*\* Differences in rates are based on concentrations of BOD, TSS, Phos. and NH3-N. The direct connect customers' concentrations are measured together so they have the same rate. Town of Fond du Lac SD2, Taycheedah, Johnsburg and Calumet are also measured together. The remaining customers are calculated separately. The price variance percentages are driven by the difference between the budget and actual loadings for each community.

Attachment 2

## Outlying Sewer Group City 2013 True-Up Calculation Ten Year Flow Analysis

				SD#2, Tay, Johns,			Mary	Empire	Empire	SD#2	SD#3	SD#4	SD#6	Town	OSG portion
	Flow in MG	Flow w/o OSG	Total OSG	Calumet	VNFD	Frienship	Hill	#1	#3	Direct	Direct*	Direct	Direct	Direct	of total flow
2002	2,435	1,949	486	112	297	49	2	6	6	0	4	9		1	19.97
2003	2,093	1,663	430	103	255	43	2	6	6	0	6	8	24	1	20.5
2004	2,875	2,270	606	178	336	59	2	7	7	0	9	8	0.00	1	21.0
2005	2,164	1,692	472	151	249	35	2	7	10	0	10	8		1	21.82
2006	2,688	2,138	549	171	299	35	2	8	11	0	16	8	200	1	20.45
2007	2,326	1,814	511	149	287	30	1	7	11	1	16	8		0	21.98
2008	2,805	2,193	612	186	342	34	1	9	13	1	18	8		0	21.82
2009	2,645	2,098	547	158	309	31	2	8	10	0	19	8		0	20.67
2010	2,561	2,001	560	148	330	33	2	9	9	1	19	8	1	0	21.8
2011	2,720	2,102	618	153	380	36	1	8	9	1	22	8	0	0	22.73
2012	2,549	2,013	536	136	312	34	2	8	8	1	28	9	1	0	21.0
2013	3,046	2,394	652	158	376	37	1	8	10	1	51	9	1	0	21.4

\* In 2013 this is the combination of the Rolling Medows and the Rogersville SD#3 flows



Attachment 3

## SEWER RATES FOR OSG CUSTOMERS True-Up 2013 Rates w/ Trailing 12-mo. Flows & 3-yr. avg. pollutant loadings

Town of FDL:	tomers Conr SD2 (Direct						
Town of FDL:							
Town of FDL:							
Town of FDL:							
Town of FDL:							
TN FDL: SD3			58501157400	trd I			
TN FDL: SD3		State and States					
		Contraction and the second		I FIC	w)		
Empire SD1 (	Dir. Conn. W/	MILIO FIC	ow)				
Empire CD2 /	Die Oene und	MALL CL.					
Empire SD3 (	Dir. Conn. w/	Mtrd Flo	(ww				
Empire SD3 (	Dir. Conn. w/	Mtrd Flo	ow)				
Empire SD3 (	Dir. Conn. w/		ow) Actual	В	udgeted		Actual
Empire SD3 (	Dir. Conn. w/			В	udgeted 2013	ŝ	Actual 2013
			Actual	В	•	2	
Empire SD3 ( Flow	Dir. Conn. w/ \$/CCF	\$	Actual	В \$	•	\$	2013
		s	Actual 2012		2013		2013 0.5093
Flow	\$/CCF	\$ \$ \$	Actual 2012 0.5182	\$	2013 0.5348	\$	2013 0.5093 0.1886
Flow BOD	\$/CCF \$/Ib.	\$	Actual 2012 0.5182 0.1783	\$	2013 0.5348 0.1938	\$	

		Calumet					
			Actual	D	udgeted		Actual
		55	Actual	D	uugeteu		lotual
		1	2012	Б	2013	6	2013
Flow	\$/CCF	\$		\$	•	\$	2013
Flow BOD	\$/CCF \$/lb.		2012		2013		2013 0.3479
		\$ \$	2012 0.3217	\$	2013 0.3573	\$	
BOD	\$/lb.	\$	2012 0.3217 0.1783	\$ \$	2013 0.3573 0.1938 0.2213	\$ \$	2013 0.3479 0.1886